

©
Government of Kerala
കേരള സർക്കാർ
2010



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ
KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55	} THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	18th May 2010 2010 മേയ് 18	} No. നമ്പർ	20
		28th Vaisakha 1932 1932 വൈശാഖം 28		

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

General Administration Department

General Administration (Strictly Confidential)

NOTIFICATION

No. 365/SC/09/GA (SC).

Thiruvananthapuram, 11th May 2010.

Mrs. Justice M. C. Hari Rani and Mr. Justice Thomas P. Joseph, Additional Judges who have been appointed Judges of the High Court of Kerala as per Government of India Notification No. K-13024/4/2009-US.I dated 28-4-2010 have been duly sworn in and assumed charge as such on the afternoon of 30th April, 2010.

By order of the Governor,

DR. P. PRABAKARAN,
Chief Secretary to Government.

Labour and Rehabilitation Department

Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 503/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Proprietor, Peniel Cashew Company, Kolannoor Ward, Ezhukone P. O. and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri S. Sathyan, Puthanpura Veedu, Kolannoor Ward, Ezhukone P. O. in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri S. Sathyan, Watchman with effect from 16-9-2009 by the management of Peniel Cashew Company, Ezhukone P. O. is justifiable or not? If not what relief the worker is entitled to get?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 508/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Dr. C. V. Mathew, Employer, Arpana Hospital, Thookkupalam, Kallar P. O., Idukki District and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Sophy Susan Mathew w/o Kuriakose V. Kurian, Valayil Veedu, Karunapuram P. O., Karunapuram, Idukki District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Sophy Susan Mathew, Staff Nurse of the Arpana Hospital, Thookkupalam by the management is justifiable? If not what are the relief she is entitled to get?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 509/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Group Manager, Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Mooply Valley Estate, Palappilly P. O., Thrissur District-680 304 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by

1. The General Secretary, Rubber Estate Workers Congress (CITU), Palappilly P. O., Thrissur-680 304, 2. The General Secretary, Palappilly Rubber Estate Labour Congress (INTUC), Palappilly P. O., Thrissur-680 304, 3. General Secretary, Kerala State Estate and Plantation Workers Union (TUCI), Lakshmi Nilayam Lodge, Palappilly P. O., Thrissur-680 304, 4. The General Secretary, Rubber Estate Workers Union (AITUC), Palappilly P. O., Thrissur-680 304 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the change of service conditions of the workers in the Central Latex Factory, in Mooply Estate by the management of Harrisons Malayalam Limited, Mooply Estate, Palappilly is justifiable or not? If not what relief the workers are entitled to?
2. Whether the work task fixed to the workers are justifiable or not? If not what relief to be done?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 510/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri Jacob George (Joy), Muriamadom, Karikkal, Karimpinpuzha P. O., Kollam-691 513 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Pushpavally w/o Pushparajan, Vallikkavil Veedu, Karikkal, Karimpinpuzha P. O., Kollam-691 513 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. P. Pushpavally, Tapper by the Proprietor, Shri Jacob George, Muriamadom, Karimpinpuzha P. O. with effect from 15-4-2006 is justifiable ? If not what relief the worker is entitled to get ?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 569/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 24th March 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Partner, Rosanto Tile Factory, Marathakara P. O., Ollur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Ollur Mekhala Ottu Company Thozhilali Union, Avinissery P. O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

1. Whether the denial of employment to 8 workers viz. (1) Smt. Vanaja, O. K., (2) Smt. Kochamminni, P. V., (3) Smt. Chandrika, (4) Smt. Mary, N. O., (5) Smt. Sulochana, K. V., (6) Smt. Ambujam, (7) Smt. Laly, T. S., (8) Smt. Chandrika, P. K. by the management of Rosanto Tile Factory, Marathakara, Ollur is justifiable ? 2. If not what relief they are entitled to get ?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,
Under Secretary to Government.